

UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

REGION 5 77 WEST JACKSON BOULEVARD CHICAGO, IL 60604-3590

REPLY TO THE ATTENTION OF:

March 25, 2009

MEMORANDUM

SUBJECT:

Notice of Citizen Suit filed under the Clean Air Act

FROM:

for Deborah Garber

Section Chief, MM Branch II, Section I

Office of Regional Counsel ORC Liaison to AE Division

TO:

George Czerniak, Chief

Air Enforcement & Compliance Assurance Branch

Air and Radiation Division

Enclosed please find a Notice of Intent to Sue concerning "Sierra Club vs. City of Holland, Michigan and Holland Board of Public Works, for alleged violations of the Clean Air Act.

This notice is provided in the event you wish to pursue this matter.

Please call me at 6-6610 if you have any questions.

Attachment

Case 1.08-cv-01183-PLM

Document 1

Filed 12/15/2008

Page 1 of 10

FILED - GR December 15, 2008 8:35 AM

RONALD C. WESTON, SR., CLERK U.S. DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN BY:_akl____/

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN SOUTHERN DIVISION

SIERRA CLUB,) 85 Second Street, 2 nd Floor) San Francisco, CA 94105)		
Plaintiff, vs. CITY OF HOLLAND, MICHIGAN 270 S. River Ave Holland, MI 49423, and	Civil Action No.	1:08-CV-1183 Paul L Maloney Chief U.S. District Judge
HOLLAND BOARD OF PUBLIC WORKS) 625 Hastings Avenue) Holland, MI 49423)		
Defendants.)		

COMPLAINT

Plaintiff, Sierra Club, by its undersigned attorneys, alleges as follows:

INTRODUCTION

- 1. This is a civil action for civil penalties, declaratory and injunctive relief, with costs and fees, under the Clean Air Act ("the Act" or "CAA"), 42 U.S.C. §§ 7401, et seq.
- 2. Sierra Club seeks an order requiring the Defendants, the City of Holland,
 Michigan and the Holland Board of Public Works, to comply with the requirements of the Act at
 the James De Young Generation Station ("the De Young Plant") and to forfeit penalties for
 violations of the CAA at the De Young Plant.

COURTHAK:

- 3. The De Young Plant is a coal- and natural gas-fired power plant that emits thousands of tons of carbon dioxide, nitrogen oxides and sulfur oxides every year as well as significant amounts of particulate matter and carbon monoxide. Those pollutants contribute to climate change, respiratory distress, cardiovascular disease, and premature mortality. Nitrogen oxides and sulfur oxides in the air also contribute to acid rain, which sterilizes lakes and damages property. Presence of those pollutants in the atmosphere is also associated with increased hospital admissions and emergency room visits.
- 4. On numerous occasions, Defendants modified and thereafter operated the generating units at the De Young Plant without first obtaining appropriate permits authorizing that construction, without meeting emission limits that are "best available control technology," and without installing appropriate technology to control emissions of nitrogen oxides, sulfur dioxides, particulate matter, and other pollutants as required by the Act and its implementing regulations.
- 5. As a result of the Defendants' operation of the De Young Plant following those unlawful modifications, and in the absence of appropriate controls, unlawful amounts of various pollutants have been, and continue to be, released into the atmosphere, aggravating air pollution locally and far downwind from this plant.
- 6. An order from this court directing Defendants to obtain the required permits, which necessitate compliance with best available control technology limits, installation of modern pollution controls and a demonstration to the appropriate regulatory agencies that emissions from the facility will not result in unlawful amounts of air pollution, will improve air quality for thousands of Americans, including Sierra Club's members. It will also reduce illness

and protect lakes and streams from further degradation due to the fallout from acid rain and mercury deposition.

7. If Defendants comply with the Act, including the Prevention of Significant Deterioration ("PSD") program, 42 U.S.C. §§ 7470-7479, and the Nonattainment New Source Review ("NNSR") program, 42 U.S.C. §§ 7501-7515, the De Young Plant will significantly decrease its annual air pollution emissions.

PARTIES

- 8. Plaintiff Sierra Club is an incorporated, not-for-profit organization with its headquarters at 85 Second Street, 2nd Floor, San Francisco, California and its Michigan Chapter Office at 109 E. Grand River Avenue, Lansing, Michigan. Its purpose is to preserve, protect, and enhance the natural environment. Its mission includes reducing and eliminating pollution from the mining, combustion, and waste disposal of coal, which negatively affects Sierra Club's members as well as of the members of the public. Sierra Club has over 1.3 million members and supporters nationwide, including approximately 18,000 members in Michigan.
- Defendant City of Holland, Michigan is a municipality in the State of Michigan that owns the De Young Plant.
- 10. Defendant Holland Board of Public Works is a division of the City of Holland that provides utility services to the Holland area and operates the De Young Plant.
- 11. Each of the defendants is a "person" within the meaning of Sections 302(e) and 304(a)(3) of the Act, 42 U.S.C. § 7602(e), 7604(a)(3).

STANDING

- 12. Sierra Club has members who live, work, and recreate in the City of Holland and Ottawa County, Michigan, as well as other areas downwind from the De Young Plant. These members are impacted negatively by air pollution emissions from the De Young Plant. The health and welfare of Sierra Club's members, as well as their enjoyment of outdoor activities, has been and continues to be harmed by air pollution from the De Young Plant.
- 13. An order of this Court enjoining Defendants from operating the De Young Plant and/or requiring Defendants to procure a PSD and/or NNSR permit with current best available control technology standards, or lowest achievable emission rate limits, for the major modifications that have been made at the De Young Plant will redress the injuries to Sierra Club's members because of the recent significant advances in pollution control technologies that will be required under a new permit.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 14. This Court has subject matter jurisdiction over the claims set forth in this complaint pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 7604(a), 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331, 1355, and 2201. The relief requested by the Plaintiff is authorized by statute in 28 U.S.C. §§ 2201 and 2202, and 42 U.S.C. § 7604.
- 15. Venue is proper in this Court pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b) because the Defendants reside in, and, all or a substantial part of the events or omissions giving rise to the claims herein occurred in the Western District of Michigan. Venue is also proper pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 7604(c)(1) because this action is for violations at the De Young Plant, which is located within the Western District of Michigan.

16. No prior notice is required for the claims set forth below.

GENERAL ALLEGATIONS

- 17. The Act requires that the United States Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) promulgate National Ambient Air Quality Standards (NAAQS), which are upper limits on air pollution, to protect public health and welfare, 42 U.S.C. § 7409.
- 18. The Act also requires each state to designate those areas within its boundaries where the air quality meets or exceeds NAAQS for each pollutant. An area that meets the NAAQS for a particular pollutant is termed an "attainment" area, whereas an area that exceeds the NAAQS is a "nonattainment" area. Areas for which there is insufficient information to determine compliance with NAAQS are "unclassifiable," 42 U.S.C. § 7407(d).
- 19. The De Young Plant is located at 64 Pine Avenue, Holland, Ottawa County, Michigan.
- 20. At the times relevant to this complaint, Ottawa County was classified as either "attainment" or "unclassifiable" for all pollutants with the exception of the pollutant ozone.
- Ottawa County was classified as "nonattainment" for ozone from approximately
 1978 to 1996 and again from June 15, 2004 to May 16, 2007. See 69 Fed. Reg. 23857 (April 30, 1997); 72 Fed. Reg. 27425 (May 16, 2007).
- 22. Defendants are government entities who own and are responsible for the operations of the De Young Plant.
- 23. The De Young Plant consists of three coal- and natural gas-fired electrical generating boilers and associated equipment known as Units 3, 4 and 5. Together, these three boilers have the capability of generating 60 megawatts ("MW") of electricity.

- 24. Boiler 3 has a capacity of approximately 11.5 MW and was manufactured in 1950.
 - 25. Boiler 4 has a capacity of approximately 19 MW and was manufactured in 1960.
- 26. Boiler 5 has a capacity of approximately 29.5 MW and was manufactured in 1967.
 - 27. Coal is the predominant fuel for all three units at the De Young Plant.
- 28. The De Young Plant has the potential to emit in excess of 100 tons per year of the following pollutants: carbon dioxide, nitrogen oxides, sulfur dioxide, carbon monoxide, and particulate matter.
- 29. The De Young Plant is a "major emitting facility" as that term is used in 42 U.S.C. § 7475(a) and a "major stationary source" as that term is used in 40 C.F.R. § 52.21(a)(2), (b)(1), 40 C.F.R. § 51.165(a)(1)(iv), Appendix S and Michigan Rule 220.
- 30. Any major stationary source in an attainment or unclassifiable area that intends to construct a "major modification" must first obtain a PSD permit, 42 U.S.C. § 7475(a) and 40 C.F.R. § 52.21.
- 31. Any major stationary source in a nonattainment area that intends to construct a modification must first obtain an NNSR permit, 42 U.S.C. §§ 7502(c)(5), 7503, 40 C.F.R. § 51.165, Appendix S, and Michigan Rule 220.

FIRST CLAIM FOR RELIEF

(Commencing Construction of a Major Modification Without a PSD Permit)

- 32. Paragraphs 1 through 31 are realleged and incorporated herein by reference.
- 33. At various times, Defendants commenced construction of one or more major modifications, affecting the boilers and associated equipment at the De Young Plant. Each such

major modification resulted in significant net emission increases, as defined by 40 C.F.R. § 52.21(b)(3)(i), of one or more pollutants.

- 34. Defendants continue to violate Section 165(a) of the Act, 42 U.S.C. § 7475(a), and the PSD regulations set forth in 40 C.F.R. § 52.21 and in the Michigan State Implementation Plan, Mich. Admin. Code § 336.2801, et seq., by, inter alia, their continuing failure to obtain the required PSD permit for major modifications to the De Young Plant. In addition, Defendants are in continuing violation of requirements to comply with best available control technology, demonstrate that construction or modification will not cause or contribute to air pollution in violation of any NAAQS or any specified incremental amount, and perform an analysis of the ambient air quality in the area, as required by 40 C.F.R. § 52.21(m) and Mich. Admin. Code § 336.2811, 336.2813.
- 35. Based upon the foregoing, Defendants have violated and continue to violate Section 165(a) of the Act, 42 U.S.C. § 7475(a), 40 C.F.R. § 52.21, and Mich. Admin. Code §§ 336.2801 et seq. Unless restrained by an order of this court, these and similar violations of the PSD provisions of the Act will continue at the De Young Plant.
- 36. The PSD violations set forth above subject Defendants to injunctive relief and civil penalties, 42 U.S.C. §§ 7413 and 7604.

SECOND CLAIM FOR RELIEF

(Commencing Construction of a Major Modification Without an NNSR Permit)

- 37. Paragraphs 1 through 36 are realleged and incorporated herein by reference.
- 38. Defendants commenced construction of one or more major modifications between June 15, 2004 and May 16, 2007, which affected the boilers and associated equipment at the De

Young Plant. Each such major modification resulted in significant net emission increases of nitrogen oxides (NOx).

THIRD CLAIM FOR RELIEF

(Declaratory Relief)

- 39. Paragraphs 1 through 38 are realleged and incorporated herein by reference.
- 40. Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 2201 and 2202, Sierra Club is entitled to a declaration that Defendants violated the Act by commencing one or more major modifications of the De Young Plant without a PSD permit or an NNSR permit, that the De Young Plant is a modified source for purposes of the PSD and/or NNSR programs, and such further necessary or proper relief as may be granted by the Court.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff Sierra Club respectfully prays for this Court to:

- A. Declare that the Defendants were required to obtain a PSD permit for the changes to the De Young Plant described above;
- B. Declare that the Defendants were required to obtain an NNSR permit for the changes to the De Young Plant described above;
- C. Declare that the De Young Plant is a modified source for purposes of the PSD program;
- D. Enjoin Defendants from operating the De Young Plant unless and until Defendants apply for and obtain a lawfully-issued PSD permit and NNSR permit;

- E. Order the Defendants to apply for permits that are in conformity with the requirements of the PSD provisions of the Act for each modification that Defendants commenced without first obtaining a PSD permit;
- F. Order the Defendants to remedy their past violations by, *inter alia*, requiring the Defendants to install, as appropriate, the necessary pollution controls to meet best available control technology emission limits;
- G. Order the Defendants to take appropriate actions to remedy, mitigate, and offset the harm to public health and the environment caused by the violations of the Act alleged above;
- H. Order the Defendants to conduct audits of their operations to determine if any additional modifications have occurred that would require them to meet the PSD requirements and to report the results of these audits to Sierra Club and the EPA;
- I. Order the Defendants to pay civil penalties, including a beneficial mitigation project pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 7604(g)(2);
- J. Order the Defendants to pay Sierra Club's costs of this action, including reasonable attorney fees, pursuant to CAA § 304(d), 42 U.S.C. § 7604(d);
- K. Retain jurisdiction over this action to ensure compliance with the Court's Order;
 and
 - L. Any other relief that the Court finds is just and equitable.

Respectfully submitted this 12th day of December, 2008.

CULLEN WESTON PINES & BACH LLP

Lester A. Pines

Wisconsin State Bar No. 1016543

Kira E. Loehr

Wisconsin State Bar No. 1042899

122 West Washington Avenue, Suite 900

Madison, WI 53703

Telephone: (608) 251-0101

Facsimile: (608) 251-2883 pines@cwpb.com

loehr@cwpb.com

GARVEY MCNEIL & MCGILLIVRAY, S.C.

David C. Bender

Wisconsin State Bar No. 1046012

Christa Westerberg

Wisconsin State Bar No. 1040530

634 W. Main Street, Ste 101

Madison, WI 53703

Telephone: (608) 256-1003

Facsimile: (608) 256-0933

bender@gmmattorneys.com

westerberg@gmmattorneys.com

Attorneys for the Plaintiff Sierra Club

Document 1-2

Filed 12/15/2008

Page 1 of 1

%JS 44 (Rev. 12/07)

CIVIL COVER SHEET

1:08-cv-1183

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained berein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or of by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON THE REVERSE OF THE FORM.)

Paul L Maloney
Chief H.S. District Judge

			Chief	U.S. District ones
I. (a) PLAINTIFFS		DEFENDANTS		
Sierra Club		City of Hotland, Michigan Holland Board of Public Works		
(b) County of Residence	of First Listed Plaintiff San Francisco		f First Listed Defendant	Ottawa
• •	EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES)		(IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES	ONLY
		NOTE: IN LAND	D CONDEMNATION CASES, US	•
	•		NVOLVED.	SO THE ESCATION OF THE
(c) Attorney's (Firm Name	e, Address, and Telephone Number)	Attorneys (If Known)		•
See attachment			•	
II. BASIS OF JURISI	DICTION (Place an "X" in One Box Only)	III. CITIZENSHIP OF P (For Diversity Cases Only)	RINCIPAL PARTIES	(Place an "X" in One Box for Plaintiff and One Box for Defendant)
1 U.S. Government	M 3 Federal Question	P	IF DEF	PTF DEF
Plaintiff	(U.S. Government Not a Party)	Citizen of This State	1 C) 1 Incorporated or Pr of Business In Thi	
CJ 2 U.S. Government	4 Diversity	Citizen of Another State	2 O 2 Incorporated and I	Principal Place
Defendant	(Indicate Citizenship of Parties in Item III)		of Business In	
·		Citizen or Subject of a Poreign Country	3 D 3 Foreign Nation	D 6 O 6
IV. NATURE OF SUI	T (Place an "X" in One Box Only)		and the car and an experience of the contract was	to favorable to the state of th
O [10 Insurance	PERSONAL INJURY PERSONAL INJU		1	THE COLUMN TWO IS A SECOND
120 Marine	310 Ainplane 362 Personal Injury		① 422 Appeal 28 USC 158 ② 423 Withdrawal	3 400 State Reapportionment D 410 Antitrust
☐ 130 Miller Act	☐ 315 Amplene Product Med. Malpracti	ce 🗓 625 Drug Related Seizure	28 USC 157	3 430 Banks and Banking
140 Negotiable Instrument	Liability 365 Personal Injury			☐ 450 Commerce
 I 150 Recovery of Overpayment & Enforcement of Judgment 			○ 820 Copyrights	10 460 Deportation 10 470 Racketeer Influenced and
☐ [5] Medicare Act	330 Federal Employers' Injury Product	O 650 Airline Regs.	☐ 830 Patent	Corrupt Organizations
152 Recovery of Defaulted	Liability Liability	Cl 660 Occupational	() 840 Trademark	1 480 Consumer Credit
Student Loans (Excl. Veterans)	☐ 340 Marine PERSONAL PROPES ☐ 345 Marine Product ☐ 370 Other Fraud	RTY Sufety/Health		1 490 Cable/Sat TV
☐ 153 Recovery of Overpayment			OCC. THE PART ARE VARIABLES	Cl 810 Selective Service Cl 850 Securities/Commodities/
of Veteran's Benefits	☐ 350 Motor Vehicle ☐ 380 Other Personal	710 Fair Labor Standards	□ 861 HTA (1395ff)	Exchange
160 Stockholders' Suits 190 Other Contract	O 355 Motor Vehicle Property Damas Product Liability O 385 Property Damas		3 862 Black Lung (923)	O 875 Customer Challenge
195 Contract Product Liability			C 863 DIWC/DIWW (405(g)) C 864 SSID Tale XVI	12 USC 3410 D 890 Other Statutory Actions
196 Franchise		& Disclosure Act	(J) 865 RSI (405(g))	[] 891 Agricultural Acts
O 210 Land Condemnation	☐ 44! Voting ☐ 510 Motions to Vac		THE PARTY SUIS	
220 Foreclosure	O 442 Employment Sentence	nte 790 Other Labor Litigation 791 Empl. Ret. Inc.	© 870 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff or Defendant)	893 Environmental Matters 3 894 Energy Allocation Act
1 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment	O 443 Homing/ Habese Corpus:	Security Act	3 871 IRS-Third Party	895 Freedom of Information
240 Tosts to Land	Accommodations C 530 General		26 USC 7609	Act
 245 Tort Product Liability 290 All Other Real Property 	444 Welfare 535 Death Penalty 545 Amer. w/Disabilities 540 Mandamus & O	ther D 462 Naturalization Application		900Appeal of Fee Determinatio
D Do the Care and tropaty	Employment D 550 Civil Rights	463 Habeas Corpus -		Under Equal Access to Justice
	446 Amer. w/Disabilities - O SSS Prison Conditio			950 Constitutionality of
•	Other 1 440 Other Civil Rights	☐ 465 Other learning ration Actions	ļ	State Stamles
V. ORIGIN (Place	an "X" in One Box Only)			Appeal to District
	tate Court Appellate Court	Reopened another (speci	ferred from 6 Multidistr or district 6 Multidistr fy) Litigation	
	Cite the U.S. Civil Statute under which you 42 U.S.C. 7604	are filing (Do not cite jurisdiction	ol statutes unless diversity):	
VI. CAUSE OF ACTI	ON Brief description of cause:			
	Civil enforcement of the Clean Air	Act pursuant to citizen suit on	ovision	
VIL REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:	V CHECK IF THIS IS A CLASS ACTIO UNDER F.R.C.P. 23	N DEMANDS	CHECK YES only	if demanded in complaint:
			JURY DEMAND:	: O Yes 13 No
VIII. RELATED CAS IF ANY	SE(S) . (See instructions): JUDGE		DOCKET NUMBER	
DATE	STORE A TRIPE OF A	TTORNEY OF RECORD	_	
12/12/08	derlier A	LIORNEI OF RECORD		
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY		······································		
RECEIPT#	AMOUNT APPLYING IFP	Itupak	MAG TI	mer.